



STRATEGIES FOR THE USE OF PREDICTING DROPOUT IN HIGHER EDUCATION

ESTRATÉGIAS PARA O USO DE PREDIÇÃO DE EVASÃO NO ENSINO SUPERIOR

ESTRATEGIAS PARA EL USO DE PREDICCIÓN DEL LIDERAZGO EN LA EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR

iD

Piero SALABERRI¹ e-mail: pierosalaberri@unipampa.edu.br



 $Sandra\ PIOVESAN^2 \\ e-mail:sandrapiovesan@unipampa.edu.br$



Valesca IRALA³ e-mail:valescairala@unipampa.edu.br

How to reference this paper:

SALABERRI, P.; PIOVESAN, S.; IRALA, V. Strategies for the use of predicting dropout in higher education. **Plurais - Revista Multidisciplinar**, Salvador, v. 9, n. 00, e024019, 2024. e-ISSN: 2177-5060. DOI: https://doi.org/10.29378/plurais.v9i00.18892



| **Submitted**: 19/10/2023

| Revisions required: 24/04/2024

| **Approved**: 01/05/2024 | **Published**: 02/12/2024

Editors: Prof. Dr. Célia Tanajura Machado

Prof. Dr. Kathia Marise Borges Sales Prof. Dr. Rosângela da Luz Matos

Deputy Executive Editor: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

Plurais - Revista Multidisciplinar, Salvador, v. 9, n. 00, e024019, 2024.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.29378/plurais.v9i00.18892

¹ Universidade Federal do Pampa (UNIPAMPA), Bagé – RS – Brazil. Master in Teaching from the Graduate Program in Teaching (PPGE) at the Federal University of Pampa (UNIPAMPA) and IT Analyst at the Federal University of Pampa

² Universidade Federal do Pampa (UNIPAMPA), Bagé – RS – Brazil. Lecturer in the Academic Master's Degree in Teaching at Unipampa and in the Computer Engineering course. Member of the GAMA Research Group (Group on Learning, Methodologies and Assessment).

³ Universidade Federal do Pampa (UNIPAMPA), Bagé – RS – Brazil. Lecturer in the Academic Master's Degree in Teaching at Unipampa and in the undergraduate course Letras - Línguas Adicionais. Leader of the GAMA Research Group (Group on Learning, Methodologies and Assessment).

ABSTRACT: Many studies aiming to find the most appropriate and effective techniques and practices for identifying factors that lead to student attrition end up relying on the use of technology to enhance data analysis and achieve a greater volume of processed information. The present study aims to identify best practices for supporting students who are identified early through data mining. To do so, it sought to identify the main institutional actors who can make use of this data to provide support to students identified as being at risk of dropping out. These actors were identified based on the structure of a public university located in the interior of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The mapped actors were determined to be the best channels for receiving student data and the primary actions to be taken by each of them. At the end of the study, questionnaires were sent to the actors themselves, who individually assessed the suggestions, indicating the level of relevance and applicability.

KEYWORDS: Dropout. College. Higher Education. Educational Data Mining. Institutional Actors.

RESUMO: Diversos estudos que buscam identificar técnicas e práticas mais adequadas e eficazes para compreender os fatores que levam à desistência de alunos têm se fundamentado no uso de tecnologias para aprimorar a análise de dados e processar um maior volume de informações. Este estudo tem como objetivo identificar boas práticas voltadas ao suporte de alunos em risco de evasão, detectados de forma precoce por meio de técnicas de mineração de dados. Para alcançar esse propósito, foram mapeados os principais atores institucionais que podem utilizar esses dados para implementar estratégias de apoio aos estudantes identificados como em situação de risco. O mapeamento foi realizado com base na estrutura de uma universidade pública localizada no interior do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. Para cada ator identificado, foram definidos os canais mais adequados para o recebimento dos dados e as principais ações a serem desenvolvidas em sua área de atuação. Ao final do estudo, questionários foram aplicados aos próprios atores institucionais, os quais avaliaram as sugestões apresentadas, indicando o nível de relevância e aplicabilidade das propostas.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Evasão. Universidade. Ensino Superior. Mineração de Dados Educacionais. Atores Institucionais.

RESUMEN: Muchos estudios que tienen como objetivo encontrar las técnicas y prácticas más adecuadas y efectivas para identificar los factores que llevan a la deserción de los estudiantes acaban dependiendo del uso de la tecnología para mejorar el análisis de datos y lograr un mayor volumen de información procesada. El presente estudio tiene como objetivo identificar las mejores prácticas para apoyar a los estudiantes identificados tempranamente a través de la minería de datos. Para ello, se buscó identificar a los actores institucionales principales que pueden aprovechar estos datos para brindar apoyo a los estudiantes identificados como en riesgo de abandono. Estos actores se identificaron en función de la estructura de una universidad pública ubicada en el interior de Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. A los actores mapeados se les identificaron los mejores canales para recibir los datos de los estudiantes y las acciones principales que debían llevar a cabo cada uno de ellos. Al final del estudio, se enviaron cuestionarios a los propios actores, quienes evaluaron individualmente las sugerencias, indicando el nivel de relevancia y aplicabilidad.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Evasión. Universidad. Enseñanza Superior. Minería de datos educativos. Actores Institucionales.

Introduction

The issue of university dropout is a worrying challenge in the Brazilian context, affecting countless students and having a negative impact on the country's education system. According to data from the OECD (2019), only around 33% of students who start higher education in Brazil manage to complete their courses on schedule. When the analysis period is extended to three years, this figure rises to only 50% of students.

The Special Commission for Studies on Evasion (ANDIFES/ABRUEM/SESu/MEC, 1996, p. 19) defines evasion as the "definitive departure of the student from the course without completing it". Multiple factors contribute to this situation, and it is crucial to understand its origins and results in order to make substantial changes and devise effective containment strategies. Understanding the reasons why students drop out of their degree courses marks the first step in a support system that is essential for the reintegration of these students. Universities must leverage their structures to implement strategic actions that encourage students to stay, investing in improved physical facilities and the continuous training of teaching and administrative staff.

The scientific literature is full of studies that set out to investigate the underlying elements of this phenomenon, as well as to create practical approaches to deal with it. A constant in the examinations of these studies is the finding that the most successful tactics for promoting the retention of students at risk of dropping out derive from a thorough understanding of the difficulties faced by these students. It is crucial that the information derived from this process accurately portrays the reality experienced by the students. This information, in turn, will guide the formulation of strategies aimed at preventing academic dropout (Howlett; Ramesh; Perl, 2013). From this understanding, it is possible to devise the strategy needed to address this issue more effectively.

Teachers and administrative staff should join forces to identify students at risk of dropping out and provide specific support according to the risk factors identified for each case. When the educational institution is able to identify threats and direct its resources clearly and straightforwardly, it creates an environment in which everyone understands their responsibilities and how they can focus their efforts on their areas of activity. From this point on, there is a greater likelihood that these agents will be able to offer targeted support, contributing to more successful academic trajectories for students.

Among the possibilities available for identifying students at risk of dropping out are strategies based on educational data mining. Collecting information from university students

(cc) BY-NC-SA

makes it possible to classify the data to predict which students have the greatest potential for dropping out. In this context, a study was carried out that combined the results of a literature review, literature analysis, and experimental data mining tests, to gain an in-depth understanding of the main motivating factors behind university dropouts and explore how the institution itself can use predictive algorithms to identify students who are more likely to drop out. Based on these results, active support strategies were proposed in line with the university's organizational structure.

The research was conducted at a public university located in the interior of Rio Grande do Sul, during the year 2022. The study sought to identify the main institutional actors capable of carrying out actions aimed at promoting the retention of students at risk, as determined by the technological processes. Specific support strategies were suggested, tailored to the functions of each mapped agent, and validated with the institutional actors themselves.

This additional focus aimed to enrich the academic literature on information management for students in situations of educational vulnerability, since this approach fills a gap identified during the scientific review carried out in the course of the research.

Mapping and Definition of Practices for Institutional Actors

The purpose of this study was also to evaluate possible support actions for all areas of the university that could reinforce the institutional support policy. The following sections are titled with each area mapped, and for each of them, actions have been outlined and described, together with the analysis made by the civil servants who make up the portfolios themselves. The actors were identified through two complementary analyses. The first was to previously identify existing practices in the scientific literature in other institutions that had an organizational unit or working group with a similar dedication. In addition, based on the institutional organization chart of the university where the research was conducted, the attributions of each of the units or the actions carried out by them were analyzed and, thus, included when it was found that such practices could have an impact on student retention, either directly or indirectly. For this investigation, the "questionnaire" instrument was used for data collection. According to Gil (2002), the questionnaire is an effective and low-cost tool for obtaining the necessary data and information, without the need for prior training, and it also allows anonymous responses. Gil (2008, p. 121, our translation) defines the questionnaire as a means of "obtaining information about knowledge, beliefs, feelings, values, interests,

expectations, aspirations, fears, present or past behavior, etc.". Therefore, the proposed instrument fully meets the research objectives.

The questionnaire was structured on a Likert scale, allowing respondents to express their level of agreement with the statements in each question. Oliveira (2001) observed that this scale is widely used in research and has significant theoretical backing in the literature. For each aspect covered by the study, at least one question was structured with response options ranging from "totally disagree" to "totally agree". Each question also included an option for the participants to indicate if they had no relation or affinity with the topic. In addition, the questionnaire included an open question at the end, allowing participants to comment on the questions or add other information they deemed relevant. The general guidelines for designing the questionnaires basically followed questions that sought to identify the perception of the university's actors about practices already listed in work implemented in other contexts and the impact on their activities and interest in actions to predict student dropout. After collecting the data, a descriptive analysis of the responses was carried out to analyze each indication made by the group of participants individually.

Institutional Program to Monitor and Combat Evasion and Retention

The university has a multidisciplinary work team made up of members of the Dean's Offices, Campus Coordinators and representatives of students, technical-administrative staff, and teachers. With the aim of reducing dropout and retention rates, the Academic Data Intelligence Center (NIDA) was created on December 8, 2021, as part of the institutional program. This center aims to analyze institutional academic data related, directly or indirectly, to the phenomena of evasion and retention, proposing methodologies for producing, analyzing, and disseminating this data. Its functions include: auditing and analyzing institutional data; publishing information on evasion and retention; and offering guidance to other sectors of the university to help them make decisions based on careful analysis.

As part of the program's initiatives, 64 participants were invited to respond to a survey, from which 15 responses were collected. More than 93% of respondents said that an institutional program dedicated to managing initiatives to combat dropout should be the focal point for promoting effective strategies at the university level. In addition, they stressed that the team responsible should be made up of members with heterogeneous profiles to integrate different perspectives and experiences, covering all aspects of students' academic lives.

Regarding the need for the working group to be dedicated, with at least part of it devoted exclusively to monitoring the progress of actions, 53.3% totally agreed and 40% partially agreed. More than a quarter believe that this group is able to characterize students according to their areas of interest, point out the support mechanisms available, and evaluate successful programs. For 80%, this action could have an impact on the permanence of the students affected. One response questioned how it would be possible to make this distinction between the students, considering that "precise characterization" is difficult to achieve when it comes to human beings.

Regarding practices to combat dropout, there are reports of individualized alerts to students identified as likely to drop out, and this action has led to greater engagement of these students in academic activities. This practice could be applied at Unipampa, according to 86% of those interviewed. Around 60% of respondents believe that the group responsible for the program can take over the management of alerts, while 73% argue that the members of the program should monitor the effectiveness of these alerts. Less than half of those interviewed had no opinion on the usefulness of giving the group access to the data of students identified as being at risk of dropping out. One of the participants pointed out that "the profile of the student who drops out today will not necessarily be the same as the student who will drop out tomorrow", considering the prediction valid only for diagnoses and trends.

For 80% of those interviewed, it is essential to develop an interface that shows the students identified as being at risk, the probability of dropping out that led them to be on the list, as well as academic, demographic, and socio-economic information. The working group responsible for the anti-dropout program must have full access to this data, including the actions recorded by campus teams or any other relevant information relating to students.

More than 93% of respondents agree that monitoring actions can be amplified through the use of technology, such as the implementation of automatic alerts for teachers or areas responsible for student support, informing them, for example, of frequent absences. This strategy is seen as a potential way of positively impacting student' retention. With regard to initiatives related to teaching practice, 80% support the automation of alerts, such as notifying the Academic Coordinator in cases of non-compliance with class registrations and minimum attendance or non-adherence to the teaching plan. On the other hand, one of the interviewees stressed the importance of prioritizing the development of the academic system as a whole, rather than concentrating efforts exclusively on creating automated alerts.

There was no consensus in the responses regarding the achievement of the objectives

proposed for the working group and the impact on the students. Only 26% believe that the work

has achieved its objectives and had a direct impact on the students. More than 33% consider

that the work has not achieved its stated purpose. One of the interviewees believes that the

group's work should focus on managing the data made available. For him, the scope of work

should focus "mainly on the database, cleaning, and validation, since many records are

incorrect, incomplete or irrelevant".

Institutional and International Affairs

An invitation to participate was sent to the institutional e-mail address of the Directorate

for Institutional and International Affairs (DAIINTER), and the survey received one response.

The main collaborative action to tackle the dropout identified was the management of the

Santander Undergraduate Scholarship Program, which offers aid to students in vulnerable

situations with good academic performance. This action affects the permanence of students,

according to the interviewee. However, the institution was disconnected from the program and

currently does not offer these benefits.

Regarding the question of whether the Board is informed about students at risk of

dropping out and the possibility of reserving places or offering bonuses to these students, no

majority opinion or consensus was identified among the respondents.

Institutional Communication

Twelve institutional accounts of civil servants linked to the Social Communication

Department (ACS) and the ACS institutional e-mail were invited to contribute to the study.

Among the questionnaires sent out, four responses were completed, indicating that the use of

local media could represent a relevant strategy for the university. There was a consensus that

publicizing Unipampa's achievements on TV news programs and running ads emphasizing

valuable information should be explored as tools to attract new students. For 75% of

respondents, advertisements that promote the identification of value can positively impact the

perception of quality by regular or potential students.

With regard to internal communication, half of the respondents believe that the ACS

can offer support in welcome campaigns for incoming students. In addition, 75% believe that

Strategies for the use of predicting dropout in higher education

the ACS team should extensively use the university's social networks as a channel for

information on institutional processes aimed at students. On the other hand, only half of the

respondents fully agree that the HCA should continuously monitor the university's website to

make access to information quicker and more intuitive.

Regarding the creation of a job placement support service and its possible impact on

retention rates, only half of those interviewed believe that it would be possible to develop a

bank of opportunities, showing students the job market prospects for their field of study. One

of the respondents pointed out that "informing students about courses and the job market,

professional requirements, and career possibilities is extremely important".

One contribution emphasized the potential of communication strategies that have an

external impact on Unipampa. One interviewee mentioned that: "offering more events for the

community and schools, something that can promote the University's brand", could arouse more

interest in the institution.

In addition, one participant indicated that the activities mentioned as practices to be

followed are carried out by the ACS, but the team is small to serve an institution with 10 campi.

Regarding the last question, only 50% believe that it would be beneficial to know which

students are at risk of dropping out. The others have no opinion.

Diversity, Affirmative Action and Inclusion

Eighteen participants linked to the following centers and advisory services were

identified: Inclusion and Accessibility Center (NInA), Diversity, Affirmative Action and

Inclusion Advisory Office (ADAFI), Educational Development Center (NuDE) and Afro-

Brazilian and Indigenous Studies Center (NEABI). These units are central in supporting

students impacted by inclusion and diversity policies. Invitations were sent to the staff of these

structures, resulting in the collection of three responses. There was a consensus among the

participants that actions such as the selection of scholarship holders to promote inclusion and

accessibility have a significant impact on the permanence of the students assisted. In 2021, 37

new students were awarded scholarships at the university's ten campuses, 30 of which were for

Inclusion and Accessibility Monitoring and 7 for NInA Libras, aimed at teachers.

With regard to assisting students who need specific attention on inclusion issues, it was

pointed out that the actions implemented so far do not cover all the existing demands. All the

interviewees considered it essential to have access to information about students at risk of

dropping out. However, 66% said that it is not the team's direct responsibility to monitor

individual students' situations. One of the respondents pointed out that this task should be

carried out by NuDE, due to the closer contact it has with students, and that the data collected

is fundamental for supporting the actions of NInA at the Rectorate.

In addition, all the civil servants agreed that the data available in the institutional records

at the time of the survey was insufficient to carry out more effective work. The need to broaden

access to information on the history of student activities and attendance at the institution was

highlighted. Thus, support teams could develop more assertive strategies to promote the

retention and academic success of these students.

Information and Communication Technology

The six area managers identified were invited, and four responses were collected. For

75% of those interviewed, improving and providing new systems or acquiring solutions that

improve teachers' pedagogical practices as initiatives to promote student retention is important.

There is no consensus on whether DTIC, in the area of systems development, should

provide the relevant solutions to combat evasion. However, there is unanimity among managers

that a responsible body must approve all requests related to strategies to combat dropout.

Among them, 75% believe that the Institutional Program to Monitor and Combat Dropout and

Retention could be such a structure in the organization.

Half of the sample believes that requests related to strategies to combat dropout should

be treated with the urgency that the issue demands. However, the idea of reserving exclusive

servers or exclusive weekly hours for developing solutions was not well received by most

interviewees, who reacted negatively or expressed no opinion. There was also disagreement

about the ICT infrastructure and whether it is possible to maintain complete wireless network

coverage in the academic units.

Student Assistance

After identifying the six managers who head the Office of the Dean of Student and

Community Affairs (PRAEC), invitations to participate were sent out, resulting in five

responses for analysis. It was found that 80% of the sample indicated that a relevant competence

of this department is the clear and precise control of the information of the students assisted.

Strategies for the use of predicting dropout in higher education

All the interviewees pointed to the need to update and unify the system for registering benefit

applications, optimizing the process of application, analysis, and socio-economic and academic

re-evaluation. According to 80% of the respondents, this measure would positively impact the

permanence of assisted students.

According to all the managers, PRAEC would benefit from having access to information

on students identified as being at risk of dropping out. Currently, only 20% say that it is possible

to effectively monitor the students who have applied to PRAEC's calls for proposals. Most

agree that a dedicated interface to identify these students, containing dropout probability indices

and academic, demographic, and socio-economic data, would be useful. This team should have

full access to records of services and actions carried out by the campuses or any other relevant

information.

With regard to the perception of student coverage of PRAEC's actions, there was no

consensus among managers. The managers unanimously agree that prioritizing students

identified as being at risk of dropping out for specific benefits could be an advantageous

measure. In addition, it was suggested that it is essential for all teachers to record students'

attendance during lessons in order to identify early absences and implement preventative

actions.

Graduation

Eleven area managers were invited to take part in the survey, resulting in the collection

of three responses. Although they recognize that the programs managed by the portfolio have

an impact on the permanence of students, the majority consider that the current scope of these

programs is not adequate.

Regarding the Internal Calls of the Pro-Rectory of Undergraduate Studies (PROGRAD),

the managers' opinions were mixed. Some believe that these strategies have a positive impact

on student retention, while others point out that the supply is less than the demand from

students.

There was a consensus among the respondents on the importance of activities to

introduce students to higher education and the course, as these initiatives help to integrate

students, especially those at risk of dropping out. Opinions were divided on the proposal to

change the admissions process to prioritize the candidate's first choice. However, all the

managers agreed that investing in internal mobility can bring benefits.

The managers pointed out that access to the list of students flagged as being at risk of

dropping out, with academic, socio-economic, and student assistance information, would be

advantageous. However, opinions differed on the support provided to campus structures and

teaching staff.

Extension and Culture

Four area managers were invited to participate, resulting in two responses. Both agreed

that the initiatives carried out by the Dean of Extension and Culture have an impact on student

retention, although they consider that these actions are insufficient in terms of volume. There

was a consensus among the respondents that extension activities need more support from other

sectors of the Rectorate. Most managers believe there should be more support from students

and technical-administrative staff.

The curricularization of extension is seen as a promising practice for engaging students

with their areas of expertise, and the participation of newcomers, especially those at risk of

dropping out, in cultural and integration activities was also considered advantageous. Despite

this, managers indicated that receiving a list of students identified as being at risk of dropping

out at the beginning of each semester is not enough to meet their needs.

Postgraduate and Research

Two area managers were invited, resulting in one response. Initiatives such as the

Academic Development Program (PDA) and Scientific Initiation were mentioned as important

for students to stay, although there was no consensus on whether the figures presented

adequately meet this demand. There was agreement that the Graduate Studies and Research

areas positively impact student retention. Promoting scientific publication and participating

undergraduates in research groups were considered not very relevant strategies.

Research into combating dropout, whether directly or indirectly, is seen as a strategy to

be encouraged. The majority of respondents believe that receiving a list of students identified

as being at risk of dropping out would be beneficial.

Plurais - Revista Multidisciplinar, Salvador, v. 9, n. 00, e024019, 2024.

Campus Management

The questionnaire was sent to the institutional emails of thirty Campus managers who held the positions of Director, Academic Coordinator (in an attempt to understand the view of teachers in the role of Campus manager), and Administrative Coordinator. Thirteen responses were received and analyzed. It was noted that all participants partially or totally agreed that the creation of a structure on campuses to provide psychological and health counseling services to students, as well as welcoming spaces and leisure and sports activities, could contribute to student retention.

It was clear that all the respondents agreed with the idea that making physical infrastructure available in the academic units is a favorable measure, but that the implementation of this proposal would depend on the resources available. Some Unipampa campuses already have spaces for integration and leisure, which suggests the feasibility of expanding this strategy.

With regard to the reception of students' children, approximately 82% of the participants agreed that this initiative could have a positive impact on the permanence of students. In addition, 76% believe in the possibility of creating these spaces. One respondent shared a successful experience from the *Uruguaiana* Campus, which established the "Maternal and Child Room" and "*UnipampaKids*", with positive results. Support for students who need to combine motherhood or parenthood with their studies was also identified as relevant.

There was less consensus among the respondents regarding the provision of subjects needed to complete the curriculum, especially for students with a high failure rate. Around 38.5% expressed no opinion or partially disagreed, while another 38.5% totally or partially agreed. With regard to tutoring, there was unanimous acceptance, with more than 92% of participants considering this a promising strategy against dropouts.

Regarding identifying students at risk of dropping out, approximately 70% of respondents indicated that management teams would benefit from having access to students identified by data mining algorithms. However, less than 50% believe they are able to monitor these students. Some commented on the difficulty of individually monitoring a large number of students, suggesting approaches such as distributing students among teachers for closer monitoring.

In the academic area, managers expressed different opinions on how to intervene in the process of offering subjects and allocating teaching staff. Around 70% agreed that identifying

(cc) BY-NC-SA

and avoiding the profile of teachers with a high failure rate in initial subjects could have an

impact on student retention. Strategies such as choosing suitable teachers for the initial

semesters and reformulating the curriculum also received support from around 66% of

respondents.

All participants agreed that pedagogical support teams play a central role in monitoring

and evaluating institutional actions. However, these teams found it difficult to plan actions due

to lack of time and excessive workload. The idea of involving the family in supporting the

students generated divided opinions. Although 90% of the participants recognize the proposal's

potential, its implementation depends on an individual approach, taking into account the

diversity of family situations.

Courses and Teachers

Academic coordinators (seeking to understand the views of course managers and

teachers) and course coordinators were invited to contribute their opinions on practices related

to courses and the role of teachers in preventing dropout. A total of twenty-one responses were

collected. Around 70% of respondents agreed that identifying and avoiding the profile of

teachers with a high failure rate in initial subjects could positively impact student retention.

More than 60% considered it interesting to choose teachers with suitable profiles for the initial

semesters of the course.

For almost 91% of respondents, assigning empathetic teachers to classes made up of

students at risk of dropping out is essential. However, some concerns have been raised about

the operationalization of this approach, due to the lack of teachers and problems related to the

allocation of subjects.

Around 71% of the participants believe that investigating each teacher's learning

methodologies and teaching strategies can contribute to students staying in school. The idea of

reformulating course curricula, including practical activities from the outset, was also supported

by around 67% of respondents. The promotion of self-efficacy among students was considered

promising by 71.5% of those surveyed. Almost 62% agreed that encouraging scientific

production as a pedagogical practice could have a positive impact on student retention.

The interaction between the course coordinator and new students, as well as the holding

of pedagogical meetings with the active participation of students, was seen as positive by more

than 85% of respondents. More than 85% of teachers agreed that motivation should be

Strategies for the use of predicting dropout in higher education

stimulated in a positive way, without coercion or threats. The teacher's genuine interest in the

student's progress was considered essential by 90% of the participants.

Most teachers believe that knowing which students are at risk of dropping out could

benefit their support. As for the most appropriate channel for this communication, opinions

varied between the teacher's institutional e-mail, a specific menu in the academic system, and

a mark in the class diary. The class diary was the most relevant channel for the majority of the

sample.

The importance of keeping up-to-date records was unanimous among the participants.

However, not everyone agreed with the inclusion of features in the class diary to classify

students' engagement and level of participation.

As for support for students identified as being at risk, the majority believe that it is

possible to offer help without highlighting the student's situation. Concerns about possible

discrimination and impacts on the class were also discussed. Active methodologies were

considered essential strategies by 81% of teachers. The availability of materials in various

media was also considered relevant by 75% of respondents.

Several contributors highlighted the inadequacy of student assistance and the

institutional structure as factors contributing to dropout. The answers reflect the perception that

dropout is a multifactorial phenomenon, requiring a comprehensive approach on the part of

teachers and institutions.

Students

There was no questionnaire for students. The suggestions presented have been

collected from the researched bibliography and scientific articles on which this study was based.

In addition to structuring Academic Directories (DAs), there is the possibility of promoting new

forms of organization, such as study groups and learning communities. Promoting the course's

identity through elements such as T-shirts fosters a sense of belonging and cohesion among

students.

Tinto (2015) explores pedagogical approaches related to collaborative learning and

learning communities, emphasizing the importance of establishing links between colleagues

and teachers in and outside the classroom. Through collaborative learning, students are

encouraged to collaborate and share knowledge and experiences, contributing to the creation of

an environment of cooperation and mutual support.

The formation of freshman learning communities can be implemented by selecting

students who are prone to dropping out, along with those who obtained the best entrance grades

in the related field of study. In the case of senior students, the community could be made up of

students identified as susceptible to dropping out, as well as the best-placed students on the

course within the study area.

Final considerations

Data mining has played a significant role in higher education by analyzing dropout rates

and identifying patterns that help administrators make informed decisions. By analyzing student

data, data mining techniques are used to identify students at risk of dropping out and plan

appropriate interventions. In addition, data mining helps to improve assessment and decision-

making processes in higher education, using the insights generated to establish the university

as a support and training system for all students.

Confirming Andrade's (2010) assertion, it is essential to analyze each of the motivators

of school dropout since each of them is related to specific needs and involves different actors

in the process of supporting the student.

An essential conclusion that emerges from this study is the need for the university to

maintain a robust and constantly updated database. It is imperative to cultivate an organizational

culture that promotes the quality of student records and agility in collecting information, as data

on students' academic progress will only be valuable for studies to identify the risk of dropout

if recorded in a timely manner. Delays in registering elements such as courses taken and

extracurricular or complementary activities hinder studies that predict dropout. Problems

related to registration and ease of access can compromise the identification of students most at

risk of vulnerability, as pointed out by Berens et al. (2019), who consider these factors essential

to the success of educational support programs.

Other data from the interaction between teachers and students can be incorporated as

attributes for predicting dropouts, such as student attendance. Although this is a common

predictor in similar studies, effective use of this attribute requires all teachers to consistently

record students' attendance and absences. Even if omission occurs in a minority of teachers, it

can cause an imbalance in student data, impacting the accuracy of algorithms. Therefore,

strategies based on interaction between students and teachers should be further explored. These

Plurais - Revista Multidisciplinar, Salvador, v. 9, n. 00, e024019, 2024.

actions are corroborated by Tinto (1993), who argues that students bring their goals closer to those found in the university system when a dedicated and attentive teacher accompanies them.

Once students at risk have been identified, it is essential to define effective practices for university management. This requires a detailed understanding of the main institutional agents within the university's structure and how each of these actors can collaborate in supporting students, promoting permanence and academic success. Dedication and clarity about the role of each actor in helping students in situations of vulnerability play a fundamental role in building a robust academic environment and student success. The active and committed involvement of the teams that make up the university workforce can be the decisive factor between student dropout and persistence in the face of educational challenges.

The focus should be on implementing strategies that strengthen integration and build a relationship of trust with the student. This can be achieved through one-to-one conversations, in which genuine interest and active listening can reveal personal challenges, learning difficulties, or the need for additional support. Creating an environment in which students feel valued and understood lays the foundation for a supportive relationship that drives persistence. In addition, collaboration with specialized professionals, such as academic advisors and psychologists, can result in more effective support strategies. Forming a comprehensive support network can increase the chances of success for at-risk students by providing a variety of resources to overcome obstacles.

When analyzing teaching practice, the need to adapt teaching approaches to meet the individual needs of students at risk of dropping out becomes clear. This perspective reinforces Ribeiro's (2020) argument, highlighting the importance of pedagogical practice and the teacher-student relationship as essential elements for the success of permanence. This may involve adopting differentiated teaching methods, offering practical activities to engage students, and providing constructive feedback. Personalizing the learning process can help restore students' confidence in their abilities and reinvigorate their enthusiasm for learning.

Understanding the role of each institutional actor in promoting the retention of students at risk of dropping out is essential for effective management. This consideration is in line with what Silva *et al.* (2019) suggested when they identified that the institutions that adopted integration actions on the part of the coordinators had a lower dropout rate. Carefully designed strategies that promote academic, emotional, and financial support, combined with transparent communication and a strengthened sense of belonging, are fundamental to ensuring that students face the challenges and complete their academic careers.

REFERENCES

ALBOANEEN, Dabiah *et al.* Development of a Web-Based Prediction System for Students' Academic Performance. **Data**, [S. l.], v. 7, n. 2, p. 21, 29 jan. 2022. MDPI AG.

AL-FAIROUZ, Ebtehal; AL-HAGERY, Mohammed. The most efficient classifiers for the students' academic dataset. **International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications**, [S. l.], v. 11, n. 9, p. 501–506, 2020.

ANDIFES/ABRUEM/SESu/MEC. Comissão Especial Sobre a Evasão nas Universidades Públicas Brasileiras. **Diplomação, retenção e evasão nos cursos de graduação em instituições de ensino superior públicas**. Brasília, 1996. 152p.

BERENS, Johannes *et al.* Early Detection of Students at Risk - Predicting Student Dropouts Using Administrative Student Data from German Universities and Machine Learning Methods. **Zenodo**, [S. l.], v. 11, n. 3, p. 1-41, 23 dez. 2019. Zenodo.

FLORES, Vaneza; HERAS, Stella; JULIAN, Vicente. Comparison of Predictive Models with Balanced Classes Using the SMOTE Method for the Forecast of Student Dropout in Higher Education. **Electronics**, [S. l.], v. 11, n. 3, p. 457, 3 fev. 2022. MDPI AG.

GIL, Antonio Carlos. Como elaborar projetos de pesquisa. São Paulo: Atlas, 2002.

GIL, Antonio Carlos. **Métodos e técnicas de pesquisa social**. 6. ed. São Paulo: Atlas, 2008. 200 p.

HOWLETT, Michael; RAMESH, M.; PERL, Anthony. **Política pública**: seus ciclos e subsistemas – uma abordagem integral. São Paulo: Campus, 2013.

OECD. Education at a Glance 2019: OECD Indicators. Education at a Glance. 2019.

OLIVEIRA, T. Escalas de mensuração de atitudes: Thurstone, Osgood, Stapel, Likert, Guttman, Alpert. **Administração On Line**, [S. l.], v. 2, n. 2, p. 1-25, 2001.

PALACIOS, Carlos *et al.* Knowledge Discovery for Higher Education Student Retention Based on Data Mining: machine learning algorithms and case study in chile. **Entropy**, [S. l.], v. 23, n. 4, p. 485, 20 abr. 2021. MDPI AG.

PÉREZ-GUTIÉRREZ, Boris Rainiero. Comparación de técnicas de minería de datos para identificar indicios de deserción estudiantil, a partir del desempeño académico. **Revista Uis Ingenierías**, [S. l.], v. 19, n. 1, p. 193-204, 1 jan. 2020. Universidad Industrial de Santander.

RIBEIRO, Marinalva Lopes. A relação professor-estudante na educação superior. **Educação em Análise**, Londrina, v. 5, n. 1, 2020, p. 185-200.

SILVA, Izaqueline *et al*. Estratégias das Coordenações dos Cursos de Ciências Contábeis para combater a evasão. **Revista Universo Contábil**, [S. l.], p. 61-81, fev. 2019.

THORN, James. Random Forest for Feature Importance: learn how to use random forest

models to calculate the importance of the features in your data. 2020. Available at: https://towardsdatascience.com/random-forest-for-feature-importance-ea90852b8fc5. Accessed in:18 July 2023.

TINTO, Vincent. **Leaving college**: Rethinking the causes and cures of student attrition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. 1993.

YAğCi, Mustafa. Educational data mining: prediction of students' academic performance using machine learning algorithms. **Smart Learning Environments**, [S. l.], v. 9, n. 1, p. 1-19, 3 mar. 2022.

4	CRodiT	Author	Statement
	1 N <i>VIII I</i>	AIIIIII	SIMIPMIPMI

Acknowledgements: Not applicable.	
Funding: Not applicable.	
Conflicts of interest: Not applicable.	
Ethical approval: Not applicable.	
Availability of data and material: Not applicable.	
Author contributions: Data collection and writing was carried out by Author 1. The other	
authors collaborated in writing and revising the manuscript.	

Processing and editing: Editora Ibero-Americana de Educação.

Proofreading, formatting, normalization and translation.

